IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA GREAT FALLS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CR 18-90-GF-BMM-JTJ

Plaintiff,

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

vs.

SHEVYN EUGENE MARSHALL,

Defendant.

I. Synopsis

Defendant Shevyn Eugene Marshall (Marshall) has been accused of violating the conditions of his supervised release. Marshall admitted all of the alleged violations. Marshall's supervised release should be revoked. Marshall should be placed in custody for 1 month, with 21 months of supervised release to follow. Marshall should receive credit for time served in federal custody.

II. Status

Marshall pleaded guilty to Possession of Stolen Explosives on

January 8, 2019. (Doc. 80). The Court sentenced Marshall to 6 months of
custody, followed by 3 years of supervised release. (Doc. 146). Marshall's
current term of supervised release began on December 27, 2019. (Doc. 177 at 1).

Petition

The United States Probation Office filed a Petition on October 1, 2020, requesting that the Court revoke Marshall's supervised release. (Doc. 177). The Petition alleged that Marshall had violated the conditions of his supervised release: 1) by failing to report for substance abuse testing; 2) by failing to complete his substance abuse treatment program; and 3) by failing to complete his community service at the rate directed by his probation officer.

Initial appearance

Marshall appeared before the undersigned for his initial appearance on December 8, 2020. Marshall was represented by counsel. Marshall stated that he had read the petition and that he understood the allegations. Marshall waived his right to a preliminary hearing. The parties consented to proceed with the revocation hearing before the undersigned.

Revocation hearing

The Court conducted a revocation hearing on December 8, 2020, and on March 16, 2021. Marshall admitted that he had violated the conditions of his supervised release: 1) by failing to report for substance abuse testing; 2) by failing to complete his substance abuse treatment program; and 3) by failing to complete his community service at the rate directed by his probation officer. The violations

are serious and warrant revocation of Marshall's supervised release.

Marshall's violations are Grade C violations. Marshall's criminal history category is IV. Marshall's underlying offense is a Class C felony. Marshall could be incarcerated for up to 24 months. Marshall could be ordered to remain on supervised release for up to 36 months, less any custody time imposed. The United States Sentencing Guidelines call for a term of custody of 6 to 12 months.

III. Analysis

Marshall's supervised release should be revoked. Marshall should be incarcerated for 1 month, with 21 months of supervised release to follow.

Marshall should receive credit for time served in federal custody. This sentence is sufficient but not greater than necessary.

IV. Conclusion

The Court informed Marshall that the above sentence would be recommended to United States District Judge Brian Morris. The Court also informed Marshall of his right to object to these Findings and Recommendations within 14 days of their issuance. The Court explained to Marshall that Judge Morris would consider a timely objection before making a final determination on whether to revoke his supervised release and what, if any, sanction to impose. Marshall stated that he wished to waive his right to object to these Findings and

Recommendations, and that he wished to waive his right to allocute before Judge Morris.

The Court **FINDS**:

That Shevyn Eugene Marshall violated the conditions of his supervised release: by failing to report for substance abuse testing; by failing to complete his substance abuse treatment program; and by failing to complete his community service at the rate directed by his probation officer.

The Court **RECOMMENDS**:

That the District Court revoke Marshall's supervised release and commit Marshall to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons for 1 month, with 21 months of supervised release to follow. Marshall should receive credit for time served in federal custody.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO OBJECT TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO OBJECT

The parties may serve and file written objections to the Findings and Recommendations within 14 days of their entry, as indicated on the Notice of Electronic Filing. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A United States district court judge will make a de novo determination regarding any portion of the Findings and Recommendations to which objection is made. The district court judge may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Findings and Recommendations. Failure to timely file written objections may bar a de novo determination by the district court judge, and may waive the right to appear and allocute before a district court

judge.

DATED this 17th day of March, 2021.

John Johnston

United States Magistrate Judge